

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 DISTRICT OF NEVADA
5

6 RANDOLPH L. MOORE,

7 Petitioner,

8 v.

9 WILLIAM GITTERE, *et al.*,

10 Respondents.
11

Case No. 2:13-cv-0655-JCM-CWH

ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR
EXTENSION OF TIME (ECF NO. 63)

12
13 In this capital habeas corpus action, the petitioner, Randolph L. Moore, filed a second
14 amended habeas petition on July 15, 2019 (ECF No. 59). Respondents' response to the second
15 amended petition is due September 13, 2019. *See* Order entered February 5, 2019 (ECF No. 51).

16 On September 6, 2019, Respondents filed a motion for extension of time (ECF No. 63),
17 requesting a 32-day extension of time, to October 15, 2019, to respond to Moore's second
18 amended petition. Respondents' counsel states that the extension of time is necessary because of
19 the complexity of this case and his obligations in other cases. Moore does not oppose the motion
20 for extension of time.

21 The Court finds that Respondents' motion for extension of time is made in good faith and
22 not solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the extension of time
23 requested.

24 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Respondents Motion for Enlargement of Time
25 (ECF No. 63) is **GRANTED**. Respondents will have until and including **October 15, 2019**, to
26 respond to the petitioner's second amended habeas petition.

27 ///

28 ///

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, in all other respects, the schedule for further proceedings set forth in the order entered February 5, 2019 (ECF No. 51) will remain in effect.

DATED September 10, 2019.

James C. Mahan
JAMES C. MAHAN,
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE